



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,847	10/11/2001	Toshiyuki Kasai	110837	9089
25944	7590	07/23/2004	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ALPHONSE, FRITZ	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2133	
DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/973,847	KASAI, TOSHIYUKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fritz Alphonse	2133	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Fritz Alphonse (PTO). (3) _____.

(2) Mark R. Woodall (Applicant Rep). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 July 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 21 and 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: Okuda (U.S. Pat. No. 6,380,689).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant Representative discussed claims 21 and 22, which were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102. The amendment filed on June 16, 2004 will not be entered because it contains new matters requiring a new search. Applicant Rep. discussed changing "emit light or writing"...to..."write". A new agreement also was discussed that would lead to the allowance of the rejected claims 21 and 22.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required